

# 05/18/22 Notes

Dylan Roscow and Casey Appleton

May 18, 2022

- Next meeting time: 10am on Friday at AH347

## 1 Group Rings and $G$ -Modules

Recall, a representation of a group  $G$  in a vector space  $V$  over  $\mathbb{F}$  is a homomorphism  $f : G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$ . We can change our perspective and think of this as scalar multiplication on  $V$  by letting  $g \cdot \vec{v} \mapsto [f(g)](\vec{v})$ .

**Definition 1.1** (group ring). The group ring  $\mathbb{F}[G]$  is the set of all finite formal linear combinations of group elements:

$$\mathbb{F}[G] = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n a_i g_i \mid a_i \in \mathbb{F}, g_i \in G, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \right\}$$

$\mathbb{F}[G]$  is a vector space over  $\mathbb{F}$ , and  $\mathbb{F}[G]$  is a ring with multiplication as in a polynomial, simplifying with the group operation if necessary. For example:  $(ag_1 + bg_2) \cdot (cg_1 + dg_2) = ac(g_1 \cdot g_1) + ad(g_1 \cdot g_2) + bc(g_2 \cdot g_1) + bd(g_2 \cdot g_2)$ .

**Definition 1.2** (module). For a ring  $R$ , a (left)  $R$ -module is a set  $M$  with two operations  $+$  :  $M \times M \rightarrow M$  and  $\cdot$  :  $R \times M \rightarrow M$  such that  $(M, +)$  is an abelian group and for all  $r, s \in R$  and  $x, y \in M$ :

1.  $r \cdot (x + y) = r \cdot x + r \cdot y$
2.  $(r + s) \cdot x = r \cdot x + s \cdot x$
3.  $(r \cdot s) \cdot x = r \cdot (s \cdot x)$
4.  $1 \cdot x = x$

Then, for a given representation  $f : G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$ , it follows that  $V$  is an  $\mathbb{F}[G]$ -module, with scalar multiplication extended naturally from the  $g \cdot \vec{v}$  defined above. For short we simply say  $G$ -module.

**Proposition 1.3.**  $V$  is a  $G$ -module  $\iff$  There exists a representation  $f : G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$ .

*Proof.* ( $\implies$ ) Suppose  $V$  is a  $G$ -module. Define  $f : G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$  by  $f(g) : \vec{v} \mapsto g \cdot \vec{v}$ . This is an invertible linear map since  $g \cdot (v_1 + v_2) = g \cdot v_1 + g \cdot v_2$  and  $g^{-1} \cdot (g \cdot v) = (g^{-1} \cdot g) \cdot v = 1 \cdot v = v$  by the definition of a module.  $f$  is a homomorphism because  $(g_1 \cdot g_2) \cdot v = g_1 \cdot (g_2 \cdot v)$ . Hence,  $f$  is a representation.

( $\impliedby$ ) Suppose  $f : G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$  is a representation. Define scalar multiplication by:

$$(a_1 g_1 + \cdots + a_n g_n) \cdot v = a_1 \cdot [f(g_1)](v) + \cdots + a_n \cdot [f(g_n)](v)$$

The first property follows from the linearity of  $f(g)$ . The second property follows directly from the definition. The third and fourth properties follow from the properties of a homomorphism since  $f(g_1 \cdot g_2) = f(g_1) \cdot f(g_2)$  and  $f(1) = \text{id}_V$ . Hence,  $V$  is a  $G$ -module.  $\square$

**Definition 1.4** ( $G$ -submodule). A subset  $W \subseteq V$  of a  $G$ -module is a  $G$ -submodule if it is closed under addition and multiplication by elements of  $G$ .

A representation is a subrepresentation of  $V$  if it is a  $G$ -submodule of  $V$  as a  $G$ -module. Every  $G$ -module  $V$  has the submodules  $V$  and  $\{0\}$ . These are called the trivial submodules.

## 2 More Examples of Representations

**Definition 2.1** (degree). The degree of a representation  $f : G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$  is the dimension of  $V$ .

**Example 2.2.**  $f : G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V), f(g) = I_V$ , or in terms of  $G$ -modules,  $g \cdot v = v, \forall g \in G, v \in V$

The example above is known as the Trivial Representation

**Example 2.3.**  $G = (\mathbb{Z}, +), V = \mathbb{R}^3, f(n) = I_3 + nD + \frac{n(n+1)}{2}D^2$ , where  $D_{i,j} = 1$  if  $j = i + 1$ , and 0 otherwise.

That  $f$  is a group homomorphism can be seen from the fact that  $f(n) = f(1)^n$ , which can be shown by binomial expansion of  $(I_3 + D + D^2)^n$  and  $(I_3 - D)^n$  for  $n \geq 0$ , and noting that  $(I_3 + D + D^2)(I_3 - D) = I_3 - D^3, D^3 = 0$ .

**Example 2.4.**  $G = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}, f(z) = z^n$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$

In fact these are all inequivalent irreducible representations of  $G$ , and form an exhaustive list of all the irreducible representations of  $G$ . Furthermore, all of these are one-dimensional.

But what does it mean for two representations to be inequivalent, or irreducible?

## 3 Irreducible Representations and Equivalence of Representations

**Definition 3.1.** A representation  $f : G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$  is irreducible if  $\{0\}$  and  $V$  are the only subspaces of  $V$  that are invariant under  $f(g)$  for every  $g \in G$ .

In terms of  $G$ -modules, a  $G$ -module  $V$  is an irreducible representation of  $G$  iff it has no nontrivial submodules

**Example 3.2** (a reducible representation). Let  $\mathfrak{S}_3$  act on  $\mathbb{C}^3$  by:  $\sigma \cdot (v_1, v_2, v_3) = (v_{\sigma(1)}, v_{\sigma(2)}, v_{\sigma(3)})$ . Then,  $\mathbb{C}^3$  has two  $G$ -submodules given by  $\{(v, v, v) \mid v \in \mathbb{C}\}$  and  $\{(v_1, v_2, v_3) \in \mathbb{C}^3 \mid v_1 + v_2 + v_3 = 0\}$

**Proposition 3.3.** A representation  $f : G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$  is irreducible  $\iff \forall v \in V \setminus \{0\}$  the vectors  $G \cdot v := \{f(g)v : g \in G\}$  span  $V$ .

*Proof.* ( $\implies$ ) Since the span of  $G \cdot v$  is a  $G$ -invariant subspace of  $V$  (Because  $f(h)(f(g)v) = f(gh)v$ ), and it contains at least one nonzero vector,  $v$ , by irreducibility of  $f$ , it must be  $V$  itself.

( $\impliedby$ ) If  $W$  is a  $G$ -invariant subspace of  $V$ , then either  $W = 0$  or  $W$  contains at least one nonzero vector  $w$ . In the latter case, since  $W$  is a  $G$ -invariant subspace of  $V, V = \text{Span}(G \cdot w) \subseteq W \subseteq V$ , and therefore  $W=V$ .  $\square$

**Definition 3.4.** If  $G$  be a group,  $V$  and  $W$  are vector spaces, and  $f_1 : G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$  and  $f_2 : G \rightarrow \text{GL}(W)$  are representations of  $G$ , then  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are equivalent representations of  $G$  if there is some invertible linear map  $T : V \rightarrow W$  such that  $\forall g \in G, f_2(g) = T f_1(g) T^{-1}$ .

In other words,  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are equivalent representations of  $G$  if  $\forall g \in G, f_2(g)$  is the same as  $f_1(g)$  up to a change of basis, and the change of basis is the same for all  $g$ .

In terms of  $G$ -modules, two representations of  $G$ ,  $V$  and  $W$ , are equivalent as  $G$ -modules iff there is some bijective module homomorphism  $T : V \rightarrow W$  which preserves the action of  $G$ . That is,  $T(g \cdot v) = g \cdot T(v)$ .