# THE "GROTHENDIECK TO LASCOUX" CONJECTURE 

VICTOR REINER AND ALEXANDER YONG


#### Abstract

This report formulates a conjectural combinatorial rule that positively expands Grothendieck polynomials into Lascoux polynomials. It generalizes one such formula expanding Schubert polynomials into key polynomials, and refines another one expanding stable Grothendieck polynomials.


## 1. The open problem

We set up the notation needed to state the problem, Conjecture 1.13 below.
1.1. Grothendieck and Lascoux polynomials. Define operators $\partial_{i}, \pi_{i}$ on polynomials $f \in$ $\mathbb{Z}[\beta]\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$

$$
\partial_{i}(f)=\frac{1-s_{i} f}{x_{i}-x_{i+1}} \text { and } \pi_{i}(f)=\partial_{i}\left(\left(1+\beta x_{i+1}\right) f\right)
$$

where $s_{i}=(i \leftrightarrow i+1)$ is a simple transposition in the symmetric group $S_{n}$. The transposition $s_{i}$ acts on $f \in \mathbb{Z}[\beta]\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ by permuting $x_{i}$ and $x_{i+1}$.
Definition 1.1 (A. Lascoux-M.-P. Schützenberger [LS82]). The $\beta$-Grothendieck polynomial $\mathfrak{G}_{w}^{(\beta)}$ is recursively defined by the initial condition

$$
\mathfrak{G}_{w_{0}}^{(\beta)}=x_{1}^{n-1} x_{2}^{n-2} \cdots x_{n-1}
$$

where $w_{0}$ is the longest permutation that swaps $i \leftrightarrow n+1-i$, and then setting

$$
\mathfrak{G}_{w}^{(\beta)}=\pi_{i}\left(\mathfrak{G}_{w s_{i}}^{(\beta)}\right) \text { if } w(i)<w(i+1) .
$$

The $\beta$ parameter was introduced by S. Fomin-A. N. Kirillov [FK94]; [LS82] uses $\beta=-1$.
Define a further family of operators $\widetilde{\pi}_{i}$ on polynomials via

$$
\widetilde{\pi}_{i}(f)=\partial_{i}\left(x_{i}\left(1+\beta x_{i+1}\right) f\right) .
$$

Also, let Comp be the set of (weak) compositions, that is, $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots\right) \in \mathbb{N}^{\infty}$ having finitely many nonzero entries, where $\mathbb{N}=\{0,1,2, \ldots\}$.
Definition 1.2 (A. Lascoux [L03]). The $\beta$-Lascoux polynomials $\Omega_{\alpha}^{(\beta)}$ are again defined recursively. For $\alpha \in$ Comp, define

$$
\Omega_{\alpha}^{(\beta)}= \begin{cases}x_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} x_{2}^{\alpha_{2}} x_{3}^{\alpha_{3}} \cdots & \text { if } \alpha_{1} \geq \alpha_{2} \geq \alpha_{3} \geq \ldots \\ \widetilde{\pi}_{i}\left(\Omega_{\alpha s_{i}}^{(\beta)}\right) & \text { if } \alpha_{i}<\alpha_{i+1}\end{cases}
$$

The nomenclature "Lascoux polynomial" first appears in C. Monical's [M17]. We also refer to A. Lascoux's [L13], A. N. Kirillov's [K16], C. Monical-O. Pechenik-D. Searles' [MPS21], O. Pechenik-D. Searles' [PS19], and the references therein for more about both families of polynomials.
1.2. Increasing tableaux and $K$-jeu de taquin. We need some notions from [TY09].

Definition 1.3. An increasing tableaux of shape $\nu / \lambda$ is a filling of $\nu / \lambda$ using $\{1,2, \ldots,|\nu / \lambda|\}$ such that the labels of $T$ strictly increase along rows and columns.

Let $\operatorname{INC}(\nu / \lambda)$ denote the set of all increasing tableaux of shape $\nu / \lambda$.
Definition 1.4. A short ribbon $R$ is a skew shape without a $2 \times 2$ subshape, where each row and column has at most two boxes, and each box is filled with one of two symbols, but adjacent boxes are filled differently. Two boxes lie in the same component of $R$ if there is a path between them passing through boxes that are adjacent vertically or horizontally.
Definition 1.5. Define switch $(R)$ to be the same short ribbon as $R$ but where, in each nonsingleton component, each box is filled with the other symbol.

For example:

$$
R=\underset{\substack{0 \cdot}}{\substack{0}} \quad \operatorname{switch}(R)=\stackrel{\bullet}{\bullet \bullet} 0
$$

In what follows, we assume $\nu / \lambda$ is contained in an ambient rectangle $\Lambda$.
Definition 1.6. An outer corner of a skew shape $\nu / \lambda$ is a maximally northwest box of $\Lambda / \nu$.
Given $T \in \operatorname{INC}(\nu / \lambda)$, consider a set of outer corners $\left\{x_{i}\right\}$ filled with $\bullet$. Let $m$ be the maximum value label appearing in $T$. Define $\operatorname{revKjdt}_{\left\{x_{i}\right\}}(T)$ as follows: let $R_{m}$ be the short ribbon consisting of $\bullet$ and $m$. Apply $\operatorname{switch}\left(R_{m}\right)$. Now let $R_{m-1}$ be the short ribbon consisting of $\bullet$ and $m-1$ and apply $\operatorname{switch}\left(R_{m-1}\right)$. Repeat until one applies $\operatorname{switch}\left(R_{1}\right)$, and then erase the $\cdot$ entries. For example, if $\nu / \lambda=(3,2,1) /(2,1)$ is contained in $\Lambda=$ $(3,3,3,3)$ and we might have


Definition 1.7. A reverse $K$-rectification of $T$ is any sequence of revKjdt-slides giving a reverse straight tableau revKrect $(T)$.

Continuing the previous example, one can perform the following revKjdt-slides:

to conclude $\operatorname{rev} \operatorname{Krect}(T)=1 \mid 2$. Unlike textbook jeu de taquin, revKrect might depend on the choice of revKjdt-slides used (see [TY09, Example 1.6], [BS16, Example 3.4]).

Given $P \in \operatorname{INC}(\nu)$ we define the left key $K_{-}(P)$ to be a tableau, using revKjdt, as follows. By definition, the first columns of $P$ and $K_{-}(P)$ agree. Assume that the first $\ell$ columns of $K_{-}(P)$ have been determined. Apply reverse rectification of the increasing tableau $P^{(\ell+1)}$ comprised of the first $\ell+1$ columns of $P$, inside the smallest rectangle $\Lambda^{(\ell+1)}$ that $P^{(\ell+1)}$ fits inside. For specificity, we define revKrect by using the leftmost outer corner for each intermediate revKjdt-slide. Let $C^{(\ell+1)}$ be the leftmost column in the reverse rectification of $P^{(\ell+1)}$. Then $C^{(\ell+1)}$ (after upward-justification) is the $(\ell+1)$-st column of $K_{-}(P)$. Repeating this, the end result is $K_{-}(P)$.

Example 1.8. The reader can check that if

$$
P=\begin{array}{l|l|l|l}
\hline & 2 & 3 & 5 \\
\hline
\end{array} \text { then } K_{-}(P)=\begin{array}{lll|l|l}
\hline 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 \\
\hline 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\
\hline 4 & 4 & 5 & 6 \\
\hline 4 & 6 & 4 & \\
\hline
\end{array} .
$$

The first two columns are easily seen from the definition, as reverse rectification does nothing. To compute the third column one works out this revKrect:

$$
\begin{array}{|l|l|l|}
\hline 1 & 2 & 3 \\
\hline 2 & 4 & 5 \\
\hline 4 & 6 & \bullet \\
\hline
\end{array} \rightarrow \begin{array}{|l|l|l}
\hline & 2 & 3 \\
\hline 2 & 4 & 5 \\
\hline 4 & \bullet & 6 \\
\hline
\end{array} \rightarrow \begin{array}{|l|l|l}
\hline 1 & 2 & 3 \\
\hline 2 & \bullet & 5 \\
\hline \bullet & 4 & 6 \\
\hline
\end{array} \rightarrow \begin{array}{|l|l|l|}
\hline 1 & \bullet & 3 \\
\hline \bullet & 2 & 5 \\
\hline 2 & 4 & 6 \\
\hline
\end{array} \rightarrow \begin{array}{|l|l|l}
\bullet & 1 & 3 \\
\hline 1 & 2 & 5 \\
\hline 2 & 4 & 6 \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

The first column $C^{(3)}=\frac{1}{2}$ of the rightmost tableau is the third column of $K_{-}(P)$.
Notice in our example, $K_{-}(P)$ has the same (straight) shape as $P$. Also, $K_{-}(P)$ is a key: the set of labels in column $i$ are contained in the set of labels in column $i-1$ for $i \geq 2$. These properties always hold, and are proven in Section 3.3. Let content $\left(K_{-}(P)\right)$ be the usual content of a semistandard tableau; here content $\left(K_{-}(P)\right)=(4,5,0,2)$.
1.3. Reduced and Hecke words. Let $\ell(w)$ be the Coxeter length of $w \in S_{n}$, that is,

$$
\ell(w)=\#\{1 \leq i<j \leq n: w(i)>w(j)\} .
$$

Definition 1.9. A sequence $\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{\ell(w)}\right)$ is a reduced word for $w$ if $s_{i_{1}} s_{i_{2}} \cdots s_{i_{\ell(w)}}=w$.
Let $\operatorname{Red}(w)$ denote the set of reduced words for $w$.
Definition 1.10. The Hecke monoid $\mathcal{H}_{n}$ is generated by $u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{n-1}$, subject to:

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{i}^{2} & \equiv u_{i} \\
u_{i} u_{j} & \equiv u_{j} u_{i} \quad \text { if }|i-j|>1 \\
u_{i} u_{i+1} u_{i} & \equiv u_{i+1} u_{i} u_{i+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Definition 1.11. A sequence $\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{N}\right) \in \mathbb{N}^{N}$ is a Hecke word for $w \in S_{n}$ if

$$
u_{i_{1}} u_{i_{2}} \cdots u_{i_{N}} \equiv u_{a_{1}} u_{a_{2}} \cdots u_{a_{\ell(w)}}, \text { for some }\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{\ell(w)}\right) \in \operatorname{Red}(w)
$$

Definition 1.12. For any tableau $P$, we will read off a word denoted word $(P)$, concatenating its rightmost column read top-to-bottom, then its next-to-rightmost column, etc. For example, the tableau $P$ in Example 1.8 has word $(P)=(7,5,6,3,5,2,4,6,1,2,4)$.
1.4. The "Grothendieck to Lascoux" conjecture. This is the open problem of this report: Conjecture 1.13.

$$
\mathfrak{G}_{w}^{(\beta)}=\sum_{P} \beta^{\# \operatorname{boxes}(P)-\ell(w)} \Omega_{\operatorname{content}\left(K_{-}(P)\right)}^{(\beta)}
$$

where $P$ is any straight-shape increasing tableau such that word $(P)$ is a Hecke word for $w$.
Example 1.14. If $w=31524$ the increasing tableaux and the left keys are respectively

For instance, if $P$ is the rightmost increasing tableaux, then word $(P)=(4,2,4,1,3)$. Now

$$
u_{4} u_{2} u_{4} u_{1} u_{3} \equiv u_{2} u_{4}^{2} u_{1} u_{3} \equiv u_{2} u_{4} u_{1} u_{3},
$$

and $s_{2} s_{4} s_{1} s_{3}=31524$. Conjecture 1.13 predicts $\mathfrak{G}_{31524}^{(\beta)}=\Omega_{301}^{(\beta)}+\Omega_{202}^{(\beta)}+\beta \Omega_{302}^{(\beta)}$.

Conjecture 1.13 generalizes one formula [RS95] and refines another [BKSTY08]. It has been exhaustively checked (with computer assistance) for $n \leq 7$ (and spot-checked for $n=8,9$ ). It says the $\mathfrak{G}_{w}^{(\beta)}$ to $\Omega_{\alpha}^{(\beta)}$ expansion is positive; this is also open.

The rest of this report surveys known and related results that motivate Conjecture 1.13. The proof that the shapes of $P$ and $K_{-}(P)$ agree, and that $K_{-}(P)$ is a key, is in Section 3.3.

## 2. History of the problem

During the preparation of [BKSTY08], M. Shimozono privately conjectured to the second author that $\mathfrak{G}_{w}^{(\beta)}$ expands positively in the $\Omega_{\alpha}^{(\beta)}$ s; he also suggested ideas towards a rule. Conjecture 1.13 was formulated in September 2011 during a visit of the first author to UIUC. There are two limiting cases of Conjecture 1.13, as explained now.

### 2.1. The $\beta=0$ specialization and stable-limit symmetry.

Definition 2.1. The key polynomial (or type A Demazure character) is $\kappa_{\alpha}:=\Omega_{\alpha}^{(0)}$.
References about key polynomials include [LS90, RS95, L13]. A tableau formula for $\kappa_{\alpha}$ is in [LS90] (see also [RS95]). From the definitions,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{\alpha}^{(\beta)}=\kappa_{\alpha}+\sum_{k>0} \beta^{k} p_{k} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{k}$ is a homogeneous polynomial in $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots$ of degree $|\alpha|+k$, where $|\alpha|=\sum_{i \geq 1} \alpha_{i}$. Definition 2.2. The Schubert polynomial ${ }^{1}$ is $\mathfrak{S}_{w}:=\mathfrak{G}_{w}^{(0)}$.

A combinatorial rule for $\mathfrak{G}_{w}^{(\beta)}$ as a sum of $\mathfrak{S}_{v}$ 's is given by C. Lenart's [Le99].
All the aforementioned polynomial families (Lascoux, Key, Grothendieck, Schubert) are $\mathbb{Z}[\beta]$-linear bases of $\mathbb{Z}[\beta]\left[x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots\right]$. There are symmetric versions of these polynomials.
Definition 2.3. The $\beta$-stable Grothendieck polynomial is

$$
G_{w}^{(\beta)}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathfrak{G}_{1^{n} \times w}^{(\beta)}(X),
$$

where $\left(1^{n} \times w\right)(i)=i$ if $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $\left(1^{n} \times w\right)(i)=w(i)+n$ if $i>n$.
Definition 2.4. The stable Schubert polynomial is $F_{w}:=G_{w}^{(0)}$. This is also known as the Stanley symmetric polynomial.

Definition 2.5. A permutation $w$ is Grassmannian at position $k$ if $w(i)<w(i+1)$ for $i \neq k$. To such $w$, define a partition $\lambda=\lambda(w)$ by $\lambda_{i}=w(k-i+1)-(k-i+1)$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$.

Definition 2.6. A set-valued semistandard Young tableaux $T$ of shape $\lambda$ is a filling of the boxes of $\lambda$ with nonempty sets such that if one chooses a singleton from each set, the result is a semistandard Young tableaux (row weakly increasing and column strict).

[^0]Theorem 2.7 ([B02]). Let $w$ be a Grassmannian permutation of shape $\lambda$. Then

$$
G_{\lambda}^{(\beta)}:=G_{w}^{(\beta)}=\sum_{T} \beta^{\# \operatorname{labels}(T)-|\lambda|} x^{T}
$$

where the sum is over set-valued semistandard Young tableaux $T$ of shape $\lambda$, and $x^{T}:=\prod_{i \geq 1} x_{i}^{\# i \in T}$. Definition 2.8. The Schur function is $s_{\lambda}:=G_{\lambda}^{(0)}$.

Summarizing, one has a commutative diagram

with horizontal arrows indicating $\beta=0$ specialization, and vertical arrows called stabilization: for the right vertical arrow, let $\lambda(\alpha)$ be the sorting of $\alpha$, then if $N>n$,

$$
s_{\lambda(\alpha)}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\kappa_{\left(0^{N}, \alpha\right)}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, 0,0, \ldots\right) .
$$

### 2.2. Monomial expansion formulas.

Theorem 2.9 ([FK94]).

$$
\mathfrak{G}_{w}^{(\beta)}(X)=\sum_{(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{i})} \beta^{N-\ell(w)} x^{\mathbf{i}}
$$

where the sum is over all pairs of sequences ( $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{i}$ ) (called compatible sequences) such that
(a) $\mathbf{a}=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots, a_{N}\right)$ is a Hecke word for $w ;$
(b) $\mathbf{i}=\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, \cdots, i_{N}\right)$ has $1 \leq i_{1} \leq i_{2} \leq \ldots \leq i_{N}$
(c) $i_{j} \leq a_{j}$; and
(d) $a_{j} \leq a_{j+1} \Longrightarrow i_{j}<i_{j+1}$.

Theorem 2.10 ([FK94]).

$$
G_{w}^{(\beta)}=\sum_{(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{i})} \beta^{N-\ell(w)} x^{\mathbf{i}}
$$

where ( $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{i}$ ) satisfies (a), (b) and (d) above.
Therefore, one has a commutative diagram, with the same arrows

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
\mathfrak{G}_{w}^{(\beta)} \stackrel{\operatorname{Thm}}{=}{ }^{2.9} \sum_{(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{i})} \beta^{N-\ell(w)} x^{\mathbf{i}} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{S}_{w}=\sum_{(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{i})} x^{\mathbf{i}}  \tag{4}\\
& & \downarrow \\
G_{w}^{(\beta)} & \stackrel{\text { Thm } 2.10}{=} \sum_{(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{i})} \beta^{N-\ell(w)} x^{\mathbf{i}} & \longrightarrow \\
F_{w} & =\sum_{(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{i})} x^{\mathbf{i}} .
\end{array}
$$

In view of Definitions 2.2 and 2.4, the expressions in the right column sum over ( $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{i}$ ) with $\mathbf{a} \in \operatorname{Red}(w)$, versus sums over Hecke words a for $w$ in their left column counterparts.

The diagram (4) specializes when $w=w(\lambda)$ is Grassmannian, giving

2.3. Prior expansion formulas. Conjecture 1.13 generalizes a relationship between the Schubert and key polynomials.

Theorem 2.11 ([LS89, RS95]).

$$
\mathfrak{S}_{w}=\sum_{P} \kappa_{\text {content }\left(K_{-}(P)\right)}
$$

where the sum is over all increasing tableaux $P$ such that $\operatorname{word}(P) \in \operatorname{Red}(w)$.
To be precise, in the formulation given in [LS89, Theorem 4], the description of the "left nil key" $K_{-}(P)$ differs from our definition. We are asserting (proof omitted) that in the case of the $P$ in Theorem 2.11, the two definitions agree. This is because revKjdt can be used to compute the insertion tableau of Hecke insertion [BKSTY08] which specializes to Edelman-Greene insertion [EG87]; see [TY11].

Theorem 2.11 is the non-symmetric version of the following result:
Theorem 2.12 ([FG94]). Let $a_{w, \lambda}=\#\{P \in \operatorname{INC}(\lambda): \operatorname{word}(P) \in \operatorname{Red}(w)\}$. Then

$$
F_{w}=\sum_{\lambda} a_{w, \lambda} s_{\lambda} .
$$

The next result generalizes Theorem 2.12. Conjecture 1.13 is its non-symmetric version: Theorem 2.13 ([BKSTY08]). Let $b_{w, \lambda}=\#\{P \in \operatorname{INC}(\lambda): \operatorname{word}(P)$ is a Hecke word for $w\}$. Then

$$
G_{w}^{(\beta)}=\sum_{\lambda} \beta^{|\lambda|-\ell(w)} b_{w, \lambda} G_{\lambda}^{(\beta)} .
$$

Example 2.14. $G_{31524}^{(\beta)}=G_{31}^{(\beta)}+G_{22}^{(\beta)}+\beta G_{32}^{(\beta)}$. This is witnessed by the $P$ tableaux of (1). Notice that Conjecture 1.13 subdivides the witnessing tableaux $P$ for $b_{w, \lambda}$ according to content $\left(K_{-}(P)\right)$. It is in this sense that Conjecture 1.13 is a refinement of Theorem 2.13.

In conclusion, Conjecture 1.13 captures some known facts, as expressed in this diagram

$$
\begin{array}{cccc}
\mathfrak{G}_{w}^{(\beta)} \stackrel{\operatorname{Conj} 1.13}{=} \sum_{P} \beta^{|\operatorname{shape}(P)|-\ell(w)} \Omega_{\text {content }\left(K_{-}(P)\right)}^{(\beta)} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{S}_{w} \stackrel{\text { Thm 2.11 }}{=} \sum_{P} \kappa_{\text {content }\left(K_{-}(P)\right)}  \tag{6}\\
G_{w}^{(\beta)} \stackrel{\text { Thm 2.13 }}{=} \sum_{P} \beta^{|\operatorname{shape}(P)|-\ell(w)} G_{\text {shape }(P)}^{(\beta)} & \longrightarrow & F_{w} \stackrel{\text { Thm 2.12 }}{=} \sum_{P} S_{\text {shape }(P)} .
\end{array}
$$

Here shape $(P)$ is the partition of $P$. The horizontal, vertical maps are as before.

## 3. FURTHER DISCUSSION

3.1. Formulas for Lascoux polynomials. Combinatorial rules for the Lascoux polynomials are in V. Buciumas-T. Scrimshaw-K. Weber [BSW20]. Another rule, generalizing the Kohnert moves of [K90], was conjectured in [RY15]. ${ }^{2}$ Also, see the skyline conjectural rule of C. Monical [M17]. Finally, [RS95, Theorem 5] gives an alternative formula for $\kappa_{\alpha}$ in terms of compatible sequences; we do not know a generalization of this formula to $\Omega_{\alpha}^{(\beta)}$.

[^1]3.2. Warning about stable-limits. The results of Section 2 suggest combinatorial properties for stable-limit polynomials will hold for their non-symmetric versions. This is not always true. S. Fomin-C. Greene proved the following result (cf. C. Lenart's [Le00]):

Theorem 3.1 ([FG94]).

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{w}^{(\beta)}=\sum_{\lambda} \beta^{|\lambda|-\ell(w)} d_{w, \lambda} s_{\lambda} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d_{w, \lambda}$ counts tableaux $P$ of shape $\lambda$ that are row strict and column weakly increasing, such that $\operatorname{word}(P)$ is a Hecke word for $w$.

Thus, using the Grassmannian permutation $w=s_{4} s_{1} s_{2} s_{3}=23514$,

$$
G_{2,1,1}^{(\beta)}=s_{2,1,1}+\beta\left(3 s_{2,1,1,1}+s_{2,2,1}\right)+\cdots
$$

If one expands $\Omega_{\alpha}^{(\beta)}$ in the keys (the non-symmetric analogue (7); see (3)), positivity fails:

$$
\Omega_{1,0,2,1}^{(\beta)}=\kappa_{1,0,2,1}+\beta\left(2 \kappa_{1,1,2,1}+\kappa_{2,0,2,1}+\kappa_{1,2,2}-\kappa_{2,1,2}\right)+\cdots
$$

3.3. Proof that $K_{-}(P)$ is a key of the same shape as $P$. We first show shape $\left(K_{-}(P)\right)=$ shape $(P)$. In the notation of $K_{-}(P)$ 's description, it suffices to argue that the length $b$ of $C^{(\ell+1)}$ equals the length $t$ of the $\ell+1$ (i.e., rightmost) column of $P^{(\ell+1)}$.

To see this, consider the general situation of an increasing tableau $T$ contained in an $r \times s$ dimension rectangle $\Lambda$, and a second increasing tableau in $\Lambda$ that is complementary to $T$. Recall the notion of Kinfusion defined in [TY09, Section 3]. In fact,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Kinfusion}(T, U)=(A, B) \text { where } A=\operatorname{Krect}(U) \text { and } B=\operatorname{revKrect}(A) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The rectification $A$ uses the inner corners defined by $T$. Similarly the reverse rectification $B$ uses the outer corners defined by $U$.
Given $V \in \operatorname{INC}(\nu / \lambda)$ define $\operatorname{LDS}(V)$ to be the length of the longest decreasing subsequence of the left to right, bottom to top, row reading word of $V$. This is true:

Theorem 3.2 ([TY09, Theorem 6.1], cf. [BS16, Corollary 6.8]). LDS is invariant under $K$ theoretic (reverse) jeu de taquin slides.

Now, suppose $t$ and $u$ are the lengths of the $s$-th (possibly empty) column of $T$ and $U$, respectively. Similarly, let $a$ and $b$ be the length of the first (leftmost) columns of $A$ and $B$, respectively. Thus $t+u=a+b=r$. By Theorem 3.2, $u=\operatorname{LDS}(U)=\operatorname{LDS}(A)=a$. Thus $b=t$. The result follows from (8) and setting $T=P^{(\ell+1)}$ and $U$ being any complementary increasing tableau to $T$ inside the smallest rectangle $\Lambda^{(\ell+1)}$ that $P^{(\ell+1)}$ sits inside.

To see that $K_{-}(P)$ is a key we use an argument of G. Orelowitz: Since we choose the leftmost outer corner at each slide of revKrect, when computing $C^{(\ell+1)}$ we begin by computing revKrect $\left(P^{(\ell)}\right)$ as a partial revKrect of $P^{(\ell+1)}$. At this point, $C^{(\ell)}$ is the leftmost column of this partial revKrect. Thus, when completing the revKrect $\left(P^{(\ell+1)}\right)$, by the definition of revKjdt, the entries of $C^{(\ell+1)}$ are contained in those of $C^{(\ell)}$, as desired.

The above shape argument does not depend on the specific choice of revKrect used at each stage of the definition of $K_{-}(P)$. We suspect this choice does not affect $K_{-}(P)$ being a key, however, the choice we use (suggested by G. Orelowitz) makes the proof easy.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ It represents the class of a Schubert variety $X_{w}$ in the flag variety $G L_{n} / B$ under Borel's isomorphism (see [Fu97]). The Grothendieck polynomial $\mathfrak{G}_{w}:=\mathfrak{G}_{w}^{(-1)}$ similarly represents the Schubert structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{X_{w}}$ in the Grothendieck ring $K^{0}\left(G L_{n} / B\right)$ of algebraic vector bundles on $G L_{n} / B$. This explains the "combinatorial $K$-theory" nomenclature [B05].

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ The conjecture is accidentally misstated there. See the corrected version https://faculty.math. illinois.edu/~ayong/polynomials.Seminaire.revision. 2017.pdf which is consistent with the 2011 report by C. Ross https://faculty.math.illinois.edu/~ayong/student_projects/Ross.pdf.

